IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2876 of 2002

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'qble MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

@

MIRABEN W/O DILIPBHAI KHUSHALBHAI KOLI, (PATEL)

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 2876 of 2002

MS SUBHADRA G PATEL for Petitioner No. 1

MR SJ DAVE, AGP for Respondent No. 1-3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

Date of decision: 26/04/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The petitioner has been detained under the provisions of Gujarat Prevention of Anti-Social Activities Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as `the Act of 1985') by the order dated 15-1-2002 passed by the Police Commissioner, Surat City and she has been declared

as bootlegger.

2. It is now well settled that unless the activities of a person as bootlegger has disturbed the maintenance of public order, she cannot be detained under the Act. Reliance is placed on a decision of the case of Piyush Kantilal Mehta Vs. Commissioner of Police, Ahmedabad & Ors. reported in AIR 1989 SC 491.

3. I have heard learned advocate for the petitioner at length and learned AGP, Mr.S.J.Dave, for the respondents. I have also perused the material on record. She has mainly submitted that detenu is a lady having infant aged about 1 and half years with her in Central Jail, Sabarmati. She has stated at the bar that detenu was required to be shifted to Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad where she was admitted as an indoor patient due to high B.P. and at present she is in Civil Hospital. She has further stated that order of detention was passed on 15-1-2002 and same was executed on the same day. According to her, last offence registered the detenu was on 8-10-2001 at Mahidarpura Police Station being III C.R.No.527 of 2001 while the order of detention was passed on 15-1-2002 and hence, there is a delay of more than three months in passing the order. Learned AGP has fairly admitted the same. She has relied upon a judgment delivered by this Court reported in 1997(1) G.L.H. page 381 in the case of Elesh Nandubhai Patel Vs. Commissioner of Police, Ahmedabad City and Ors. more particularly at para 21 which reads as under:

> "There appears to be some substance in the contention of the petitioner that these two unregistered cases have been referred only with a view to cover up the gap or to give life to a stale case. This unexplained delay makes a ground of detention not proximate, vitiating the order of detention itself. If I am to buttress my findings, I would say the reference may be made to the decision of the Supreme Court in Anand Prakash V. State of U.P. reported in AIR 1990 SC 516 and Pradeep Nilkanth Vs. S. Ramamurthy reported in 1993(2) Suppl. SCC 61."

4. It appears that last offence registered against the petitioner was dated 8-10-2001 and order of detention was passed on 15-1-2002 based on this offence and hence, there is a delay of more than three months in passing the order. No affidavit has been filed either by the

detaining authority or the State explaining satisfactorily the delay caused in passing the order of detention. Therefore, in view of the above judgment relied upon by the learned advocate for the petitioner, the order of detention is illegal and the same cannot be sustained. Learned advocate for the petitioner does not press any other points.

5. The petition is allowed. The impugned order of detention dated 15-1-2002 passed against the detenu is hereby quashed and set aside. The detenu Miraben, W/o Dilipbhai Khushalbhai Koli (Patel) is ordered to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in any other case. Rule is made absolute accordingly with no order as to costs. Direct service is permitted.

(R.P.DHOLAKIA,J.)

radhan/